UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF	AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number 13-mj-70686-PSG
v. <u>ROSA MARTINEZ</u>	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on July 2, 2013. Defendant D. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney
PART I. PRESUMPTION	NS APPLICABLE	
		cribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
		le on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
<u>-</u>		e date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.		
This established	es a rebuttable presumption that no	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and	I the community.	
There is p	robable cause based upon (the indic	etment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offer		
Α	for which a maximum term of in	nprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
	801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 93	55a et seq., OR
В	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of	f a firearm during the commission of a felony.
A for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony. This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
appearance of the defer	ndant as required and the safety of t	he community.
	nption applies.	RICHARD W. WIEKING
	F PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE dant has not come forward with sufficient to the sufficient of the suffi	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO fficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he SAN JOSE
therefore will be ordered		
		ce to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .
	en of proof shifts back to the Unite	
	ERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED O	
		ance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR		
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will		
· ·	afety of any other person and the co	
	INDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMEN	
		set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as	, his attorney, and the AUSA have	waived written findings
• •	REGARDING DETENTION	warved written midnigs.
		orney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
		sons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
The defendant shall be affe	orded a reasonable opportunity for p	private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
United States or on the req	uest of an attorney for the Governm	nent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
defendant to the United St	ates Marshal for the purpose of an a	appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Dated: $\gamma / 2 (13)$	Ī	HOWARDR. LLOYD United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____